International Journal of Kinesiology & Sports Science
ISSN: 2202-946X
www.ijkss.aiac.org.au

The Effects of Including Aerobic Exercise in the Treatment Protocol of Concussions: A Systemic Review and Meta-analysis

Sofie De Wandel[1], Tracey Sulak[2], Darryn S. Willoughby[1]*

[1]*Department of Health, Human Performance, and Recreation, Baylor University, Waco, TX 76798, USA*
[2]*School of Education, Baylor University, Waco, TX 76798, USA*

Corresponding Author: Darryn S. Willoughby, E-mail: darryn_willoughby@baylor.edu

ARTICLE INFO

Article history
Received: July 01, 2019
Accepted: September 27, 2019
Published: October 31, 2019
Volume: 7 Issue: 4

Conflicts of interest: None
Funding: None

ABSTRACT

Background of Study: More research studies are being completed advocating for the use of exercise as an intervention and form of treatment for concussions. However, exercise can include many forms of physical activity, intensities, and durations. This systemic review and meta-analysis focused on the use of aerobic exercise, such as cycling or walking, as an intervention and form of treatment for children and young adults suffering from a concussion. **Objective:** The purpose of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to determine if the addition of aerobic exercise to an individual concussion treatment makes a significant difference when compared to treatments using flexibility as a form of physical activity or traditional methods of treatment following guidelines from the 2016 Berlin Consensus Statement on Concussion in Sport. **Method:** The search conducted for articles generated 472 studies. Out of these, 5 studies were selected based from the inclusion criteria. **Results:** Aerobic exercise was shown to significantly decrease the absolute risk difference for the development of prolonged post-concussion symptoms in children and adolescents with concussions when compared to those who reported no physical activity. The mean risk difference for the independent variable (IV) was -0.12 with a 95% confidence interval was reported to be -0.17 to -0.07 and an effect size of $Z = 4.94$ ($P < 0.00001$). Aerobic exercise was also shown to have an effect on the change in post-concussion symptom scale scores. The mean IV difference was 8.7 with a 95% confidence interval of 2.05 to 14.35 and an effect size of $Z=3.02$ ($p=0.003$). **Conclusion:** In conclusion, while there is evidence that aerobic exercise is beneficial for children and adolescents with a concussion, more studies need to be completed focusing on this age group and the effects of aerobic exercise on concussion recovery.

Key words: Concussion, Exercise, Adolescents, Children, Return to Sport, Exercise Therapy

INTRODUCTION

Concussions are considered to be a form of traumatic brain injury that is classified as mild (Mccrory et al., 2017) (West & Marion, 2014). A TBI is characterized by two partially overlapping phases referred to as primary and secondary injuries (Zhao et al., 2017). The reason for dividing a TBI into these two phases is that the resulting secondary injury does not always occur simultaneously with the primary injury; however, many times they overlap (Ghajar, 2000; Kumar & Loane, 2012). The primary injury is defined as the moment that a mechanical force disrupts the structure of the brain (Zhao et al., 2017). These mechanical forces can be either the direct or indirect result from an impact (Greve & Zink, 2009). These forces can act in a linear direction or as rotational forces and result in inertia acting on the brain (Greve & Zink, 2009). Because of the brain's physiological structure, immediate damage to the gray and white matter can result during the primary injury (Greve & Zink, 2009). This primary injury stage can further be characterized by mechanical-focal damage along with diffuse axonal injury (Pearn et al., 2017). Focal damage is primarily caused by forces acting in a linear direction and result in damage to the gray matter of the brain (El Sayed et al., 2008; Greve & Zink, 2009). The disruption of deep white matter caused primarily by rotational forces acting on the brain during the primary injury is referred to as diffuse axonal injury (Greve & Zink, 2009). Diffuse axonal injury does not always show up on a macroscopic level (Smith, Meaney, & Shull, 2003). However, on a microscopic level damaged axons can appear to be swollen or disconnected from the cell body (Smith et al., 2003). The secondary injury is considered to be the result of this primary injury on a biochemical and cellular level (Zhao et al., 2017). It is characterized by widespread damage of neurons, unlike the primary injury (Greve & Zink, 2009). The secondary injury also induces biochemical changes that affect the homeostatic levels within the brain. This includes ion imbalances, excessive neurotransmitter release, mitochondrial dysfunction, and cell

Published by Australian International Academic Centre PTY.LTD.

http://dx.doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijkss.v.7n.4p.33

membrane degradation (Kumar & Loane, 2012; Pearn et al., 2017). These biochemical changes can impact the BBB by producing pro-inflammatory molecules that include prostaglandins, chemokines, and cytokines leading to lipid peroxidation and BBB disruption (Kumar & Loane, 2012). The 3 stages of a TBI are outlined in Figure 1.

However, not all mTBIs are a concussion and not all concussions are sports-related. In an attempt to clarify the definition of a sports-related concussion, the 2016 Consensus Statement on Concussions defined a sports-related concussion as a TBI that is the result of a biomechanical force that is applied either directly to the head or that is applied to the body and travels to the head Regardless of whether or not a concussion is sports related, it is an injury that impacts the brain and the body's nervous system and is not necessarily as visible as other injuries; therefore, the standard methods for treatment relies on symptoms present as well as what resources are available and the concussion management knowledge of the athletic trainers, team physicians, or other medical professionals that are available to treat the individual (Marshall, Guskiewicz, Shankar, Mccrea, & Cantu, 2015). Once a sports-related concussion is suspected, medical practitioners and researchers highly recommend that the individual is removed from play immediately (Mccrory et al., 2017; P. McCrory et al., 2013). Following the diagnosis, the individual undergoes a return to play protocol that historically is advised to begin once the athlete is asymptomatic (Howell, Osternig, & Chou, 2015; P. McCrory et al., 2013). The theory behind this is to allow the brain to rest, and allow the brain to return to normal neurologic and metabolic function (McLeod & Gioia, 2010). However, rest alone may not the best course of action for concussion treatments. A meta-analysis published in 2017 included data supporting the notion that exercise might be helpful to treat concussions, including those that are sports related (Lal, Kolakowsky-Hayner, Ghajar, & Balamane, 2017).

Despite the growing body of literature surrounding exercise as treatment for concussions, there are no universally-established guidelines for prescribing exercise and aerobic exercise intensities for concussed individuals (Buckley et al., 2017; Quatman-Yates et al., 2017). It is believed that aerobic exercise helps improve cognitive function and memory through the release of BDNF (Maass et al., 2016). BDNF is also responsible for multiple neuroplastic processes including, but not limited to, the growth and function of neurons and synapses (Wei, Wang, & Xu, 2017). However, the mechanisms behind the up-regulation and function of BDNF fall out of the scope and purpose for this review. Because of the impact of aerobic exercise on brain health and function, it is reasonable to suggest that aerobic exercise could have a positive impact on concussion recovery. Leddy et al. completed a literature review in which the topic of aerobic exercise and concussion was discussed (Leddy et al., 2018). The review pointed out that there is evidence in animal models that aerobic exercise does up-regulate neuroplasticity in rodents suffering from a simulated concussion or brain injury, and that there is enough evidence to support the conclusion that aerobic exercise could be used as a treatment for concussion symptoms (Leddy et al., 2018). However, standardized methods for identifying an appropriate exercise intensity in a concussion treatment protocol do not exist (Buckley et al., 2017; Quatman-Yates et al., 2017). A treadmill protocol for an exercise test to determine a sub-symptom threshold for exercise in concussed individuals does exists, but the concussed individual must have access to a treadmill and a medical professional trained in executing and evaluating the test (Leddy & Willer, 2013).

Both the prescribed exercise intensity and duration for concussed individuals has been a source of debate. In respect to both mTBIs and moderate TBIs, one study used a sample of individuals who had their most recent TBI approximately 4 years prior to volunteering for the study (Chin, Keyser, Dsurney, & Chan, 2015). The individuals had been sedentary before the study and had no contraindications that suggested they could not participate in exercise (Chin et al., 2015). The individuals completed 30 minutes of supervised vigorous intensity aerobic exercise, defined as 70%-80% of the participant's heart rate reserve, on a treadmill 3 times a week for 12 weeks (Chin et al., 2015). The individuals showed improvements in overall cardiovascular health in addition to improvements in cognitive function (Chin et al., 2015). In a pilot study involving concussed college student-athletes, the athletes were assigned a mild to moderate intensity aerobic exercise protocol, defined by a range of 0-6 on the modified Borg Rate of Perceived Exertion (RPE) scale, using a cycle ergometer (Maerlender, Rieman, Lichtenstein, & Condiracci, 2015). The individuals cycled for 20 minutes and concussion symptoms increased initially following exercising; however, the increase was temporary and did not result in a prolonged recovery period.



Figure 1. Stages of TBI

Individuals who reported a modified Borg RPE scale rating higher than 6 after exercising showed an overall greater temporary increase in symptoms and had a significant increase in the time it took to recover (Maerlender et al., 2015). The average recovery time for the individuals who exercised at a mild-to-moderate intensity did not seem to be affected (Maerlender et al., 2015). Since this was a pilot study, the sample might not be a proper representation of a population of concussed college student-athletes. Because of the use of a Borg RPE scale to measure exercise intensity, the perceived exertion rating could be subjective to each athlete and incorrectly reported by the athletes (Maerlender et al., 2015). In respect to duration, a different study found that 90 minutes of exercise a week was sufficient for individuals with a traumatic brain injury (Wise et al., 2012). This correlates with the previously-mentioned study of individuals who had a history of TBIs exercising at a vigorous intensity three times a week for 90 minutes (Chin et al., 2015).

While various treatment methods using physical activity and exercise are available for individuals with concussions, children and adolescents might not have the resources available to undergo various rehabilitation programs that could be effective in their recovery. Furthermore, if the individual is a student-athlete, the school might not be equipped with the proper materials or personnel to set up a rehabilitation protocol that the student can complete with the athletic trainers. Also, the knowledge and guidelines that the health professionals working with students have regarding concussion treatment could have an effect on the treatment that an individual might receive. Allowing a concussed individual, especially one who is a student-athlete, to continue aerobic exercise while completing a concussion treatment program has strong benefits for the students, their coaches, and the sports-medicine practitioners with whom they work. The individual would possibly recover more quickly from a concussion, be allowed to return to normal activities sooner, and, if an athlete, not have his or her in-season physical condition deteriorate severely (Lal et al., 2017). Regardless of whether or not the individual was an athlete, participating in aerobic exercise while completing a concussion program would prevent deconditioning and prevent significant decreases in one's aerobic fitness levels. This systematic review and meta-analysis will compare the effects of aerobic exercise to control groups undergoing either a flexibility-based protocol or the standard of care, which includes rest and abstaining from unnecessary physical activity, on symptomatic concussed athletes' residual symptoms.

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to determine if the addition of aerobic exercise to an individual concussion treatment makes a significant difference when compared to treatments using flexibility as a form of physical activity or traditional methods of treatment following the 2016 Berlin Consensus Statement on Concussion in Sport. unnecessary physical activity, on symptomatic concussed athletes' residual symptoms.

Hypothesis

H_0: Concussed individuals who participate in aerobic exercise while concussed will have the same changes in symptom duration and severity as those who follow the standard of care or use a flexibility protocol.

H_a: Concussed individuals who participate in aerobic exercise while concussed will have decreases in symptom duration and severity differently than those who follow the standard of care or use a flexibility protocol.

METHODS

Search Strategies and Information Searches

EBSCO Host was used to search, the databases Medline, Pysch Info, and Sport Discus using the key words: "sports related concussion or concussion" AND "aerobic exercise or aerobic training or physical activity or exercise or physical exercise" AND "exercise therapy or exercise intervention". The databases SCOPUS, Pubmed, and the Cochrane central register of controlled trials were then searched with the same key words. The date range for articles included all dates up until the day the search was completed, January 24, 2019. The PRISM method was used to select the articles for the systematic review and meta-analysis (Appendix A2).

Data Collection Process

472 articles were found after the search was completed. Once duplicates were removed, there were 399 articles remaining. An initial selection was done by one reviewer based off of the title and abstract to see which articles concerned aerobic exercise and concussion recovery, and 62 articles were selected. Out of the 62, 8 articles were removed for being control trials that were unfinished but were found during the search of the Cochrane central register of controlled trials. As a result, 54 articles remained after the removal of the unfished control trials and were reviewed by both the principal investigator and a second reviewer to see which ones met the inclusion criteria. Out of the 54 articles, 5 articles were determined to meet all the inclusion criteria.

Risks of biases assessments and data extractions

Using the Cochrane Review Manager 5.3, the randomized control studies were assessed for any possible risk of bias. To determine if there was a possibility that the study was biased, 6 areas that could lead to the study being biased were reviewed. These 6 areas included randomization, allocation concealment, participant blinding, outcome assessments, attrition bias due to any outcome data being incomplete, and whether or not the authors of the studies selectively reported their results.

The data extracted from the studies was performed for the measures that applied to the purpose of this systemic review and meta-analysis. Data extracted included PCSS, PCSI, and ImPACT scores and days until medical clearance for children and adolescents. The data was uploaded into the Review Manager 5.3 to be analyzed.

Experimental Approach

Inclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria for articles included participants suffering from a concussion ages 18 and younger. The control group not participating in aerobic exercise as a form of treatment and the experimental group following a treatment protocol including aerobic exercise. Outcomes measured by the articles had to include concussion symptom duration and symptom severity.

Exclusion criteria for articles included participants suffering from a moderate, severe, or mTBI, a treatment intervention not clearly defined, participants older than 19, and the study failing to have a control group. Articles were also excluded if the results gave no information about concussion symptom duration and symptom severity.

Variable and Statistical Analysis

Variables

The IV for this study was the protocol used for treatment of concussions. The experimental groups in the studies used a form of aerobic exercise as part of their intervention while the control groups underwent either a flexibility or standard method of treatment.

The dependent variables for this study were the concussion symptom duration and severity. These were measured using the PCSS, PCSI, and ImPACT test. One study was included that reported absolute risk difference but had gathered results using PCSS.

Statistical analysis

Meta Analysis: The meta-analysis was completed for all of the articles included in the study using Cochrane Collaboration software, Review Manager 5.3. The control consisted of concussed children and adolescents who underwent either the standard method of treatment outlined by the 2016 consensus statement on sport concussions or underwent a treatment protocol that included rest and flexibility exercises, but no aerobic exercise. For continuous data, the means and mean differences were used with a 95% confidence interval. For dichotomous variables, the IV risk ratios were calculated and a 95% confidence interval used as well.

Grade Assessment: The outcomes from the studies were assessed using the GRADE process. The software GRADEpro was used and, based off of the components by required by the software, the total outcomes were determined to be either high, moderate, low, or very low quality. The required components for GRADEpro to perform the analysis included risk of bias, inconsistency (heterogeneity), indirectness, imprecision, publication bias, large effect size, plausible confounding, and dose-response relationship. A complete table of the GRADE assessment can be found in the appendix (Table 1A).

RESULTS

The initial search conducted resulted in a total of 472 articles being identified as possible sources for this systematic review and meta-analysis. After the selection process, 5 articles were determined to have met all of the inclusion criteria (Chan et al., 2018; Gauvin-Lepage et al., 2018; Grool et al., 2016; Kurowski et al., 2017; Micay, Richards, & Hutchison, 2018).

Study Characteristics

Five studies were selected by the principle investigator and by a secondary reviewer. All studies were full text articles (Chan et al., 2018; Gauvin-Lepage et al., 2018; Grool et al., 2016; Kurowski et al., 2017; Micay et al., 2018). Out of the 5 studies selected there were 2 randomized control trials, 1 randomized control study, 1 multicenter prospective quasi-experimental control group design, and 1 prospective, multicenter cohort study (Chan et al., 2018; Gauvin-Lepage et al., 2018; Grool et al., 2016; Kurowski et al., 2017; Micay et al., 2018). One study used a flexibility program as the control. Three of studies used either treatment as usual or usual/standard care as the control. One study used no physical activity as the control.

The researchers in Chan et al.'s 2018 study used an active rehabilitation program that included aerobic exercise as the intervention for their experimental group and treatment as usual (TAU) as their intervention for the control group (Chan et al., 2018). The active rehabilitation program was led by a physiotherapist and consisted of submaximal aerobic training, light coordination and sport-specific exercises, visualization and imagery techniques, and an at-home exercise program. The TAU included an education session by an occupational therapist which covered information concerning symptom management and returning to play, a school consultation with a teacher that was hospital-affiliated and who facilitated the individual's return to school, and a physiatrist consultation (Chan et al., 2018).

The researchers in Gauvin-Lepage's 2018 study defined their experimental group's intervention as an active rehabilitation intervention (ARI). The intervention consists of 5 components that include aerobic activity, coordination/sport-specific activity, mental imagery, education, and a home program. The aerobic activity component takes place until the individual is free of any symptoms both while at rest and while performing physical activity. The aerobic exercise intensity is determined by a maximum heart rate value of 50-60% or by using a Pictorial Children's Effort Rating Table (PCERT) and having the individual stay at either a level 2 or 3. The exercise duration would be 15 minutes and could be a fast-paced walk on a treadmill, a jog on a treadmill, or cycling on a stationary bike (Gauvin-Lepage et al., 2018). They defined their control group as undergoing standard care. This was defined as having the individuals either rest or only do light symptom-limited activities, general education concerning concussions, and academic adaptations as well as a gradual return to school. The standard care also consisted of the participants not being allowed to participate in vigorous activities or sports until the participants symptoms had completely ceased (Gauvin-Lepage et al., 2018). It is the same standard care procedure that is advised through the 2016 Berlin Consensus Statement.

For the study published by Grool et al in 2018, the subgroup for light intensity aerobic exercise was characterized by having participants either walking, swimming, or stationary cycling (Grool et al., 2016). However, no exercise intensity or duration was described (Grool et al., 2016). The control group consisted of individuals who did not perform any physical activity (Grool et al., 2016). The authors of the study did mention that because this was a study in which individuals self-reported their activities and their activity levels, there is a risk of bias (Grool et al., 2016).

The researchers in Kurowski et al.'s 2017 study used cycling on a stationary bike as the mode of aerobic exercise for the participants in the experimental group (Kurowski et al., 2017). The participants assigned to the experimental group were asked to complete a sub-symptom aerobic exercise test in order to determine the exercise intensity for their at-home exercise program that would be done 5-6 times a week on a stationary bike that was provided by the researchers (Kurowski et al., 2017). The stationary bike was the same kind as the one that was used for the sub-symptom aerobic exercise test. For the control group, an at-home full body flexibility program was used. The exact stretches were not reported in the study (Kurowski et al., 2017). Once an individual in either group had returned to baseline and was able to perform the assigned protocol without symptom exacerbation, they would be moved to the study's post-intervention period (Kurowski et al., 2017).

The researchers in Micay et al.'s 2018 study used a stepwise aerobic exercise intervention for the individuals in the experimental group (Micay et al., 2018). The participants completed 8 exercise sessions that increased in duration and intensity. The mode of exercise was cycling on a Velotron Racermate Pro stationary cycle ergometer. A heart rate monitor digitally connected to the cycle ergometer was used to monitor the exercise intensity. Intensity for the individuals was determined by the individual's age-predicted maximal heart rate (HR_{max}). Exercise intensity for the first session was 50% HR_{max}. The target prescribed exercise intensity increased by 5% for each session until the individual had reached an exercise intensity of 70% HR_{max}. Exercise sessions following the session where the target percent HR_{max} was 70% continued to have the target exercise intensity be 70% HR_{max}. Duration for the first exercise session was 10 minutes. All following exercise sessions were 20 minutes in duration (Micay et al., 2018). The control group used a usual care group that followed the same guidelines as recommended by the 2016 consensus statement on sport and concussion (Micay et al., 2018).

A complete table of all of the characteristics of the studies' interventions and outcome measures can be found in Table 1. Of all of the included articles, 1 reported absolute risk difference, 2 reported results of an ImPACT test, 2 reported the results of an adolescent PCSI test, 1 reported changes in PCSS, 1 reported PCSS scores, and 1 reported days until medical clearance (Chan et al., 2018; Gauvin-Lepage et al., 2018; Grool et al., 2016; Kurowski et al., 2017; Micay et al., 2018). All 5 articles combined involved a total of 1,599 participants. The included studies saw a range of the number of participants 15-1,531 (Chan et al., 2018; Gauvin-Lepage et al., 2018; Grool et al., 2016; Kurowski et al., 2017; Micay et al., 2018). It is important to note that while Kurowski et al's 2017 study had completed and reported the data as if all 30 individuals had completed the study, participants had dropped out during the study and the experimental group only saw 12 of the 15 participants complete the study and the control group only saw 14 of the original 15 participants that were assigned to the group complete the study (Kurowski et al., 2017).

Excluding Grool et al's 2016 study, there was an estimated number of 65 males and 48 females who took part in all 5 studies (Chan et al., 2018; Gauvin-Lepage et al., 2018; Grool et al., 2016; Kurowski et al., 2017; Micay et al., 2018). In Kurowski 2017, 5 of the original 15 members of the experimental group were males and 8 of the 15 members of the control group were males (Kurowski et al., 2017). In Chan et al's 2018 study, 4 of the 10 individuals in the experimental group were males and 1 of the 9 individuals in the control group were males (Chan et al., 2018). All of the 15 participants in Micay et al's 2018 study were males (Micay et al., 2018). In Gauvin-Lepage's study, the control group had 8 out of 13 participants that were males and the experimental group had 15 out of 36 participants that were males (Gauvin-Lepage et al., 2018).

A complete table of the characteristics of the study methods and participants are found in Table 2. The age range of the participants fell within 5 to 18 years of age (Chan et al., 2018; Gauvin-Lepage et al., 2018; Grool et al., 2016; Kurowski et al., 2017; Micay et al., 2018). It is important to note that

Table 1. Characteristics of studies' outcome's measures and descriptions of both experimental and control groups

Study	Outcome's measures	Experimental group intervention descriptions	Control group description
Chan 2018	PCSS, Pediatric Quality of Life Multi-Dimensional Fatigue Scale, PROMIS scales, Beck Depression Inventory, Balance Error Scoring System, ImPACT	Active Rehabilitation Program	Treatment as usual (TAU)
Grool 2016	Acute concussion evaluation inventory, Absolute Risk, Absolute Risk Difference	Light intensity aerobic exercise	No physical activity
Gauvin - Lepage	PCSI,	Active Rehabilitation Program	Standard Care
Kurowski 2017	Adolescent PCSI, parent PCSI	Cycling using a stationary bike	Flexibility Protocol
Micay 2018	PCSS, days until medical clearance	Cycling using a stationary bike	Usual Care Group

Table 2. Characteristics of studies' methods and participants

Study	Method	Number of participants			Age of participants range	Sex
		Total	Control group	Experimental		
Chan 2018	Single-site, parallel, open-label, randomized controlled trial	19	9	10	12-18	14 males
Grool 2016	Prospective, multicenter cohort study	1531	736	795	5-18	NR*
Gauvin-Lepage 2018	multicenter prospective quasi-experimental control group design	49	13	36	8-17	23 males
Kurowski 2017	Randomized clinical trial	30	14	12	12-17	13 males
Micay 2018	Randomized Controlled Study	15	7	8	14-18	15 males

while the age range of participants was 5 to 18 years, studies had various mean ages for their participants. Chan 2018 reported an age range of 12-18 years. The mean (±SD) age for all 19 participants was 15.5 (+/-1.47) (Chan et al., 2018). The experimental group had a mean (±SD) age of 15.9 (+/-1.66) (Chan et al., 2018). The control group had a mean (±SD) age of 15.2 (+/-1.15) (Chan et al., 2018). Grool 2018 reported an age range of 5-18 (Grool et al., 2016). However, because we only analyzed the data for a subgroup of individuals and the mean ages were not reported per subgroup, we were unable to determine the mean age of the subgroup for the Grool 2018 study (Grool et al., 2016). Gauvin 2018 reported an age range of 8-17 for their inclusion criteria for their study (Gauvin-Lepage et al., 2018). The mean (±SD) age for n=13 participants in the control group was 13.2 (+/-2.6) years (Gauvin-Lepage et al., 2018). The mean (±SD) age for n=36 participants in the experimental group was 14.0 (+/-1.9) years (Gauvin-Lepage et al., 2018). Kurowski 2017 saw their experimental group having a mean (±SD) age of 15.22 years (+/- 1.37) and their control group having a mean (±SD) age of 15.50 years (+/-1.80) (Kurowski et al., 2017). Micay 2018 reported an age range of 14-18 (Micay et al., 2018). The mean (±SD) age for the experimental group was 15.8 (+/-1.2) years, and for the control group was 15.6 (+/-1.0) (Micay et al., 2018).

The number of prior concussions for participants reported in Chan et al's 2018 study averaged to be 1.8 +/-1.15 (Chan et al., 2018). Researchers for Gauvin – Lepage et al.'s 2018 study reported that their control group saw 10 individuals with no prior concussions, 2 individuals had a range of 1-2 concussions and 1 individual had 3 or more concussions (Gauvin-Lepage et al., 2018). In the experimental group, researchers for Gauvin – Lepage et al's 2018 study reported 21 individuals with no prior concussions, 13 individuals with 1-2 prior concussions, and 2 individuals with 3 or more concussions (Gauvin-Lepage et al., 2018). Because the researchers for Grool et al's 2018 study did not report characteristics of participants by subgroup of intervention, we were unable to determine the number individuals with prior concussions or the number of prior concussions those individuals had (Grool et al., 2016). Researchers working on Kurowski et al's 2017 study reported the number of participants who had a history of 2 or more concussions including the injury related to the injury (Kurowski et al., 2017). The exercise group was reported to have 10 out of 15 participants with a history of 2 or more concussions and the control group was reported to have 6 out of 10 participants having a history of 2 or more concussions (Kurowski et al., 2017). The researchers working on Micay et al's 2018 study reported an average of the number of prior concussions for the individuals in each group. The experimental group and the control group had an average of 0 prior concussions and a range of 0-2 prior concussions (Micay et al., 2018).

Aerobic Exercise and Absolute Risk Difference for developing PPCS

The Grool et al reported in their study 249 out of 795 individuals in the light-intensity aerobic exercise group for children and adolescents developed prolonged post-concussion symptoms. The control group, which participated in no physical activity for children and adolescents, was reported to have 320 out of 736 individuals who developed prolonged post-concussion symptoms. The mean IV risk difference was reported to be -0.12 with a 95% confidence interval of -0.17 to -0.07, and an effect size of $Z = 4.94$ ($P<0.00001$). Because of the large effect size, we can be very confident that the difference in occurrence of prolonged post-concussion symptoms is due a distinct difference between the two groups and did not occur by chance. Results from the meta analysis can be seen in Figure 2.

Aerobic Exercise's Effects of ImPACT Results

The results of a meta-analysis of Gauvin-Lepage et al.'s 2018 study and Chan et al's 2018 study are shown in Figure 3. The effect sizes showed that the differences between the aerobic exercise group and the control group



Figure 2. Aerobic exercise and absolute risk difference for developing prolonged post- concussion symptoms



Figure 3. Effect of aerobic exercise on ImPACT results

were not significant for the memory composite verbal score (Z = 0.46, P=0.64), memory composite visual score (Z=0.34, P = 0.73), Visual Motor Speed Composite Score (Z=0.22, P = 0.83), Reaction Time Composite Score (Z = 0.00, P = 1.00), and Cognitive efficiency index (Z = 0.01, P = 0.99). The effect size for the impulse control composite score; however, was significant (Z = 2.20, P = 0.03) and suggests that the difference in scores could be due to the type of intervention used. The results of the meta analysis can be seen in Figure 3.

Aerobic Exercise's Effect of PCSI Scores

A meta-analysis was completed on Kurowski et al's 2017 study and Gauvin-Lepage et al's 2018 study. Both studies reported an overall PCSI score; however, only the researchers working on Gauvin-Lepage et al's study reported scores for individual clusters of the PCSI test. Because of the effect size for the overall PCSI score (Z=1.63, P=0.10), we can conclude that it is possible that the differences in scores was not because of the intervention used. When looking at the sub-groups or clusters of scores reported by researchers working on Gauvin – Lepage et al's 2018 study, we can also conclude that the difference between the aerobic exercise group and the control group are not likely to be due to the intervention for the physical cluster (Z=1.31, P = 0.1), the fatigue cluster (Z=1.51, P = 0.13), the emotional cluster (Z = 0.12, P = 0.90), and the cognitive cluster (Z = 0.18, P = 0.86). Results of the meta-analysis can be seen in Figure 4.

Aerobic Exercise's Effect on Days Until Medical Clearance

Researchers working on Micay et al's 2018 study reported days until medical clearance and determined days until medical clearance through the use of electronic medical records to see when return to play decisions were made. Based off of the effect size (Z = 0.17, P = 0.87), we can conclude that it is not likely that the difference in days until medical clearance was not significant between the aerobic exercise group and the control group. Results of the meta-analysis can be seen in Figure 5.

Aerobic Exercise's Effect on PCSS Scores

The Post-Concussion Scale Score (PCSS) consists of 22 symptoms that can be rated on a scale of 0-6. We can conclude, based off the effect size (Z=0.87, P=0.38), that it is not likely that the differences between the group's PCSS scores is due to the intervention alone. The results of the meta-analysis can be seen in Figure 6.

Aerobic Exercise's Effects on Changes in PCSS Scores

The Post-Concussion Scale Score (PCSS) consists of 22 symptoms that can be rated on a scale of 0-6. The scale used by the researchers working on Micay et al's 2018 study was from the SCAT3 test. Based off of the effect size, we can conclude that it is possible that the difference in the changes seen in PCSS scores between the aerobic exercise group and the control group could be due to the intervention used (Z=3.02, P=0.003). The results of the meta-analysis can be seen in Figure 7.

DISCUSSION

When dealing with a concussion, specifically one that is sports related, the goal is the same as with any other injured individual, which is to return the individual back to competition as soon and as safely as possible. Initially, assessment of sports-related concussions normally begins with removing an athlete from play and completing a sideline evaluation, if an athlete has sustained a head impact severe enough to warrant



Figure 4. Effect of aerobic exercise on the PCSI score



Figure 5. Aerobic exercise's effects on days until medical clearance



Figure 6. *Aerobic exercise's effects on PCSS scores*



Figure 7. Aerobic exercise's effects on changes in PCSS scores

concern of a concussion or the athlete has a clear presence of symptoms and is thus removed from play. According to the most recent Sports Related Consensus statement, a SCAT5 test and the Standardized Assessment of Concussion (SAC) can be used for sideline evaluations but does not definitively diagnose the athlete (Mccrory et al., 2017). The SCAT test should only be performed by a trained sports medicine practitioner. This can either be a certified athletic trainer, a team physician, or a physician's assistant for the team. It consists of an immediate on-field assessment test and an off-field assessment (Mccrory et al., 2017). The immediate assessment consists of identifying signs of a sustained concussion, completing a memory assessment, determining a Glasgow coma scale, and completing a cervical spine assessment (Mccrory et al., 2017). The off-field assessment consists of determining the athlete's concussion background, completing a brief medical history questionnaire, completing a symptom evaluation, and completing a series of cognitive and neurological screenings (Mccrory et al., 2017). Regardless of the results of the sideline evaluation, the athlete should not return to play the same day (Mccrory et al., 2017). If the SCAT or SAC test provide significant enough evidence to suggest that a concussion has been sustained, the athlete should be taken to an environment free of distractions for more evaluations to be completed (Mccrory et al., 2017). Follow-up evaluations after an initial sideline evaluation should include the athlete's concussion history, a neurological exam, determining if the athlete's condition has improved or worsened since the initial time of injury, and determining if there is a possibility for the occurrence of a moderate or severe TBI instead of a mild one (Mccrory et al., 2017).

For monitoring recovery, the symptom checklist for the SCAT test has been shown to be effective (Mccrory et al., 2017). However, it is important to note that while a concussed athlete's symptoms might have cleared, the athlete might not be fully cognitively recovered (Mccrory et al., 2017). One of the tests that can be used to identify any impairments to an individual's neurocognitive function as a result of a concussion is the computerized test battery called the ImPACT (Schatz, Pardini, Lovell, Collins, & Podell, 2006). The ImPACT test has been used to assess the neurocognitive effects on an athlete that has sustained a concussion (Corwin et al., 2015). Researchers have found, when comparing ImPACT results from concussed individuals to healthy control subjects, that the test is a good way to assess whether or not an individual is concussed based off of performance on various neuropsychological and neurocognitive tests (Schatz et al., 2006). A complete table of different assessment and evaluation tools for concussion can be found in Table A.2 of the appendix.

Because of the risks associated with returning a concussed individual back to competition too soon, concussion treatment has often been very conservative (Mccrory et al., 2017; P. McCrory et al., 2013). Within the past 3 years, this conservative method of treatment has been questioned as researchers are finding that maybe rest is not the best course of action (Mccrory et al., 2017). The methods to treating a concussion have undergone multiple changes as the understanding of concussions have developed. One of the risks involved with treating a concussion is declaring an individual clear to return to play before the concussion has healed (Makdissi et al., 2010; Mccrory et al., 2017). Having an athlete return to play too early can lead to the athlete being more susceptible to a secondary concussion, post-concussion syndrome, and, more significantly, damaging injuries such as second impact syndrome (Mccrory et al., 2017).Previous researchers have made recommendations that an athlete should be completely asymptomatic before starting a gradual return to activity protocol (McLeod & Gioia, 2010). This protocol would be for both physical and cognitive activities (McLeod & Gioia, 2010). The concept behind waiting until asymptomatic ties in with the metabolic changes that occur during the primary and secondary injuries of any form of TBI (McLeod & Gioia, 2010). Increases in neurometabolic activity could result in a longer recovery period if the activity levels reached a level above a threshold that leads to an increase or prolongation of symptoms (McLeod & Gioia, 2010). Since exercise can increase the metabolic demands of the brain, waiting until the athlete is asymptomatic to begin physical and cognitive activity would, in theory, prevent symptom exacerbation (McLeod & Gioia, 2010). Recent research, however, is shedding light that physical rest might not the best approach to treating concussions (Lal et al., 2017). There is already evidence that exercise is beneficial to brain health in non-concussed individuals. In older populations, exercise has shown to improve learning, memory, and help prevent brain tissue atrophy associated with age (Cotman, Berchtold, & Christie, 2007). Exercise has also shown to be beneficial in lowering the risks of Alzheimer's. These benefits are thought to be the result of neuronal circuits involving various growth factors that are activated through exercise (Griesbach, 2011).

The purpose of this systemic review and meta-analysis was to determine if the addition of aerobic exercise to an individual concussion treatment makes a significant difference in concussion symptom duration and symptom severity when compared to treatments using flexibility as a form of physical activity or traditional methods of treatment following the 2016 Berlin Consensus Statement on Concussion in Sport.

For this systemic review and meta-analysis, the population we were interested in were children and adolescents with a concussion. Reasons for this include but are not limited to the amount of children and adolescents who participate in some form of athletics. In 2016 alone, data collected from a concussion questionnaire added to the 2016 Monitoring The Future (MTF) Survey found that among 13,088 8th, 10th, and 12th grade U.S. students surveyed, approximately 76.7% participated in some form of athletic activity (Veliz, McCabe, Eckner, & Schulenberg, 2017). With the participation of sports, there is also an increased risk for an individual to acquire a sports related injury (Swenson et al., 2013). For most of the possible injuries that these athletes can acquire, the injuries are related to the musculoskeletal system but this does not mean that we can exclude the risk of a concussion (Caron et al., 2017).

Our null hypothesis was that concussed individuals who participate in aerobic exercise while concussed would have the same changes in symptom duration and severity as those who followed the standard of care or used a flexibility protocol. Meanwhile our alternative hypothesis was that concussed individuals who participate in aerobic exercise while concussed would have decreases in symptom duration and severity differently than those who followed the standard of care or used a flexibility protocol. Based off of the analysis of various outcomes used to measure concussion recovery that focused on either physical symptoms, cognitive symptoms, or both it can be determined that aerobic exercise is beneficial for adolescents with a concussion. It should be noted that, because of the median age of the participants of the studies, we cannot confidently apply our results so children. It should also be noted that the extent to which aerobic exercise is beneficial cannot be clearly determined by this meta-analysis because of conflicting evidence.

In regards to post concussion symptoms in adolescents, there is significant evidence that aerobic exercise can help prevent the onset of prolonged post-concussion symptoms. This conclusion is based off of the large effect size for the results of Grool et al's 2016 study (Z = 4.94 (P<0.00001)). With this large effect size, we can be confident that there are distinct differences between the two groups. If assuming that the only difference between the two groups was the intervention, we can be confident that this difference is the light aerobic exercise that was completed by the experimental group. However, there were issues with this study and the outcome. The authors of the study did report limitations and possible rooms for error because the physical activity was self-reported by the patients and their parents (Grool et al., 2016). The researchers also suggested that a well-designed and powered randomized clinical trial should be completed in order to further establish the benefits of early return to physical activity. This is because if the individuals reported doing physical activity or exercise, they might have been exercising because they felt better but could still have had lingering symptoms. In respect to the control group, those individuals might have done more cognitively demanding tasks that could have subsequently led them to be more symptomatic (Grool et al., 2016). Another issue with this study what would have to be addressed in a well-designed randomized clinical trial would be the treatment prescribed by the physicians. The authors of this study noted that the rest and activity recommendations provided by the medical staff at the different sites could have varied between both locations and medical staff. These results help support the alternative hypothesis that aerobic exercise will decrease symptom duration and severity in adolescents. However, the lack of studies reporting absolute risk difference and the quality of the Grool et al's study does give this outcome a GRADE rating of low and limits our ability to confidently say that this effect size could be repeated if more studies reported this outcome or Grool et al's study had fewer issues and limitations.

Aerobic exercise does not seem to have an overall significant effect on the ImPACT scores of adolescents. There were no statistically significant differences based off of the effect sizes in memory composite verbal score (Z = 0.46, P=0.64), memory composite visual score (Z=0.34, P = 0.73), Visual Motor Speed Composite Score (Z-0.22, P = 0.83), Reaction Time Composite Score (Z = 0.00, P = 1.00), and Cognitive efficiency index (Z = 0.01, P = 0.99). Two possible reasons for the small effect sizes throughout different aspects of the ImPACT test could be the small amount of studies and the smaller sample sizes we had that reported ImPACT test scores. Having more studies and larger sample sizes that reported ImPACT test results, would have allowed for a possible greater effect size. It should be noted that there was an I^2 value of 26% when comparing the Memory composite verbal score between Chan et.al's study and Gauvin – Lepage's study. This heterogeneity could be explained by the difference in sample size. Because of the small effect size that resulted from the meta-analysis for these areas of the ImPACT test, these outcomes do not support the alternative hypothesis but do support the null hypothesis. We also cannot be confident, because of the size of the effect sizes, that any difference between the results of the groups was solely due to the presence or absence of aerobic exercise. However, all but one of the ImPACT test related outcomes were given a GRADE assessment of moderate. This was because there was a lack in the number of studies that reported ImPACT scores and scores on different portions of the ImPACT test. It is also due to the fact that, when combining the sample sizes of both studies, there are 46 participants in the experimental group but only 22 participants in the control group. Because of the moderate GRADE assessment, we cannot be very confident that the effect sizes would be the same if more studies were done that reported these outcomes. Looking specifically at the ImPACT Reaction time composite score, this outcome received a GRADE assessment of low because of the lack of studies reporting this outcome, the difference in number of participants between the experimental and control groups, and because we know from looking at the impulse control composite scores reported, there is a possibility that errors were made while individuals were taking the test that cannot be accounted for because of a concussion.

One area of the ImPACT test that did see a statistically significant effect size was the impulse control composite score (Z = 2.20, P = 0.03). This score is representative of errors made during the reaction time tests and can be used to determine if there was confusion with testing instructions or if maximal effort was done by the athlete (Iverson, Lovell, & Collins, 2004). With the statistically significant effect size for the impulse control composite score, the conclusion can be drawn that while throughout the different aspects of the ImPACT test there were no significant differences between the aerobic exercise group and the control group, the difference in the amount of errors made throughout the test between the aerobic exercise group and the control group were significant. These results suggest that while there are statistically insignificant differences between different portions of the ImPACT test, the cumulative amount of errors made by the aerobic exercise group versus the control group is significant enough to determine there is a distinct difference between the two groups. Based off of the GRADE assessment given to this outcome, moderate, we can be fairly confident that if more studies reported this outcome, similar effect sizes would be reproduced. If we assume that the only difference is the presence or absence of aerobic activity, then these results support the alternative hypothesis.

A similar situation to that in the meta-analysis of ImPACT tests occurred for the results of the meta-analysis conducted on articles reporting PCSI scores. We were able to conclude that the difference between the aerobic exercise group and the control group are not likely to be due to the intervention for the physical cluster (Z=1.31, P = 0.1), the fatigue cluster (Z=1.51, P = 0.13), the emotional cluster (Z = 0.12, P = 0.90), and the cognitive cluster (Z = 0.18, P = 0.86) of the PCSI scores. An increase in the number of studies done and then included in this meta-analysis and systemic review that used PCSI scores would result in possibly a larger effect size. There was also an I^2 value of 73% for heterogeneity between Gauvin-Lepage et al's results and Chan et al's results when comparing overall PCSI scores. This difference could be explained by the difference in sample sizes for each of the studies. Researchers working on Gauvin – Lepage et al's study reported a sample size of 49 while researchers working on Chan et al's study reported a sample size of 19. Based off of the moderate GRADE assessment for these outcomes due to the lack of studies reporting this outcome, we can be fairly confident that the effect size reported would be similar to that if more studies reported the same outcome. The results support the null hypothesis that there would be no statistically significant difference in symptom duration and severity between the aerobic exercise group and the control group assuming that the only difference between the groups was the presence or absence of aerobic exercise.

While the results reported by Micay et al did not show a significant effect size for a difference in the days until medical clearance ((Z = 0.17, P = 0.87), it is important to note that researchers collected this data through electronic medical records that stated when a return to play decision was made. Because a medical professional is responsible for making the return to play decision, it is possible that an individual in either group could have been ready to return to play but the decision was delayed because of the individual not being able to meet with the medical professional on a earlier date or time (Micay et al., 2018). Also, as with other outcomes measured in this systematic review and meta-analysis, an increase in the number of participants and number of studies that reported days until medical clearance would allow for a greater effect size. A GRADE Assessment of moderate was given to this outcome because there was only one study that reported this outcome which results in the analysis of the outcome being biased towards Micay et al's study. Based off of the results, the outcome "days until medical clearance" did not support our alternative hypothesis and we cannot determine that any differences in the results was due solely due to the presence or absence of aerobic exericse. Instead it supported our null hypothesis that there would be no statistically significant difference in symptom duration and severity between the aerobic exercise group and the control group.

The difference in PCSS scores between the aerobic exercise group and the control group was determined to not be significant based off of the effect size (Z=0.87, P=0.38). The same is true for the differences in the changes in the PCSS score between the aerobic exercise group and the control group based off of the effect size (Z=3.02, P=0.003). It is possible if more participants or more studies were done using these same outcomes that the effect size for these two outcomes would increase. Because there was no statistically significant difference in PCSS scores, these results support the null hypothesis and we cannot assume that any differences between the results of the two groups is solely due to the presence or absence of aerobic exercise. The GRADE assessment of this outcome due to the lack of studies reporting this outcome was moderate. This results in us being able to say that we can be fairly confident that if more studies were done, a similar effect size would be reproduced.

Based off of the results of this systemic review and meta-analysis, there does not appear to be an overall significant effect on concussion recovery when aerobic exercise is used as a treatment intervention instead of the traditional treatment recommended by the 2016 Berlin Consensus Statement on Concussion in Sport. This supports our null hypothesis because the effect sizes are not large enough for us to assume that any differences in the results are solely because of the presence or absence of aerobic exercise. However, there does appear to be a significant risk of developing prolonged post-concussion symptoms if an individual does not engage in physical activity while suffering from a concussion in comparison to if the individual participated in light intensity aerobic activity. This evidence, along with the statistically significant difference in the impulse score support our alternative hypothesis that, if we assume aerobic exercise is the only difference between the experimental group and the control group there is a difference in concussion symptoms.

Delimitations and Limitations

Delimitations

1. With the keywords used, there might be studies that meet the inclusion criteria that did not show up when the search was completed
2. There might be studies that meet the inclusion criteria that did not show up when the search was completed because they were published in journals not indexed in the databases utilized.
3. There might be unpublished studies that meet the inclusion criteria or are not finished and therefore the results are not complete.

Limitations

1. Limited studies were found on specifically sports-related concussion so the inclusion criteria had to be modified to include all concussions.
2. Limited studies were found on specifically high school students so the inclusion criteria had to account for a larger age group.
3. Limited studies were found using a strict rest protocol as a control group so the inclusion criteria had to account for the control group not participating in aerobic exercise.

This systemic review and meta-analysis had several limitations. Because of the inclusion and exclusion criteria that was determined in order to answer our research questions, only 5 articles were selected. A larger selection of articles would have allowed for a more accurate analysis of the effect of aerobic exercise on concussion recovery. Limitations resulting from the studies could have an impact on the results of the meta-analysis. Because physical activity has only recently been advocated as a form of concussion treatment and as an intervention for concussion rehabilitation, the body of literature is scarce and still growing. In addition to the body of literature being relatively new, studies involving children and adolescents also contain larger risks when it comes to maintaining a good study quality. It also involves study designs that could result in various errors. Another limitation with using children and adolescents is the ages of individuals who are recruited and will participate. While the studies we included had an age range that included both children and adolescents, the average age of the participants from the included studies was not low enough to apply the results to both children and adolescents. Because of the average age of the participants, our results are only limited to being applicable to adolescents.

Limitations were also present because of the outcomes that were measured. Because children and adolescents are school-aged, it can be assumed that there is a possibility an athletic trainer could be overseeing the individual's recovery until the individual is assessed by a physician or other medical professional to return to play or normal activities. The outcomes we included were those that are used by athletic trainers in a school setting if an individual has a sports-related concussion. Outcomes selected to be analyzed also were related to what emergency room physicians might use while evaluating an individual. This was done because we did not limit ourselves to just sports-related concussions and it is possible if a child or adolescent acquires a concussion the individual could be evaluated by an emergency room physician. Another limitation to the outcomes included is that those that measure the presence of concussion symptoms and concussion symptom severity are prone to measurement error because what one individual might describe as severe, another individual might describe as mild or moderate. With the specific outcome days until medical clearance, published by Micay 2018, there is a limitation. The outcome, days until medical clearance, is not synonymous with days until asymptomatic. There was no reported evidence that an individual was medically cleared the same day that the individual was free of symptoms. Therefore, we cannot use days until medical clearance as a clear way to determine how long symptoms lasted.

It is important to note that the sample sizes for the outcomes could be considered a limitation. All but one of the outcomes had a very small sample size in comparison to Grool et al's 2016 study. Increasing the sample sizes for the studies for the other outcomes and having more high quality studies completed could lead to changes in the effect sizes for various outcomes. If the effect sizes for outcomes such as PCSS, change in PCSS, PCSI, days until medical clearance, and the ImPACT clusters were to change and become statistically significant, we would be more confident in being able to reject the null hypothesis and declaring that the difference in results between the groups is a result of the presence or absence of aerobic exercise. However, because not all of the concussion outcome measures supported the null hypothesis and one of the outcomes showed a very significant effect size, we cannot be confident in rejecting the alternative hypothesis.

Strength of Study

This study is significant because it will help determine the effectiveness of incorporating aerobic exercise as a part of concussion treatment for children and adolescents. Being able to establish that aerobic exercise can help concussion recovery in children and adolescents can lead to medical practitioners and athletic trainers further developing recovery protocols that allow for improved recovery times and allow for further research to be done on exercise prescription for concussed patients.

Directions for future research

This systemic review and meta-analysis does demonstrate a need for further research to be done on the effects of aerobic exercise in children and adolescents with concussions. The lack of high GRADE assessments for the outcomes and the reasons for the assessment rating given to the outcomes provides evidence that more high quality studies should be attempted and completed. However, there are issues with this that are supported by the limitations encountered in this systemic review and meta-analysis.

Furthermore, based off of the limitations encountered through this systemic review and meta-analysis we can support the conclusion from the 2016 Berlin Consensus statement on sport and concussion in which the authors mentioned that there is a lack of understanding and clear definitions throughout concussion research (Mccrory et al., 2017). We can also suggest that further research studies should take into account that there is a possibility that there are discrepancies in the methods of treatment of a concussion, the recommendations for patients following a concussion, and the methods of how to test and monitor symptoms of a concussion. Researchers should take into consideration that as treatment evolves, ethical considerations will have to be made when determining how to design a study comparing interventions and treatment methods. Researchers and physicians should also take into consideration having a universally acceptable way`, or gold standard, for measuring concussion symptoms and severity in both a research and clinical setting. This would provide for better comparisons to be made between various studies and interventions used.

CONCLUSION

This systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted in order to further determine if aerobic exercise could be beneficial to children and adolescents who are diagnosed with a concussion. The PRISMA guidelines for conducting the systematic review and meta-analysis were followed. Based off of the results, while it cannot be determined that the difference in treatment with aerobic exercise versus either a flexibility program of traditional methods of treatment, it can be concluded that there is also not a significant negative effect on adolescents who undergo a treatment or intervention using aerobic exercise. This being said, there is a significant decrease in risk of developing prolonged post-concussion symptoms for adolescents who participate in light intensity aerobic exercise while concussed.

Aerobic exercise does not appear to show a significantly detectable detrimental effect to concussion recovery. Instead, it does show to be beneficial in long term concussion recovery by decreasing the risk of an adolescent developing prolonged post-concussion symptoms. This is important because the symptoms of a concussion can impact not only an adolescent's physical activity levels, but can impact an adolescent's attendance and performance in school. It can be concluded that, while more and better research still needs to be done to determine the extent to which aerobic exercise is beneficial, aerobic exercise could possibly be used as an intervention for adolescents with a concussion in order to decrease the risk of developing prolonged post-concussion symptoms.

REFERENCES

Abbott, N. J., Patabendige, A. A. K., Dolman, D. E. M., Yusof, S. R., & Begley, D. J. (2010). Structure and function of the blood-brain barrier. *Neurobiology of Disease*, *37*(1), 13–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2009.07.030

Alves, J. L. (2014). Blood-brain barrier and traumatic brain injury. *Journal of Neuroscience Research*, *92*(2), 141–147. https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.23300

Amorini, A. M., Lazzarino, G., Di Pietro, V., Signoretti, S., Lazzarino, G., Belli, A., & Tavazzi, B. (2016). Metabolic, enzymatic and gene involvement in cerebral glucose dysmetabolism after traumatic brain injury. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Molecular Basis of Disease*, *1862*(4), 679–687. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2016.01.023

Barkhoudarian, G., Hovda, D. A., & Giza, C. C. (2011). The Molecular Pathophysiology of Concussive Brain Injury. *Clinics in Sports Medicine*, *30*(1), 33–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csm.2010.09.001

Bazarian, J. J., Zhu, T., Blyth, B., Borrino, A., & Zhong, J. (2012). Subject-specific changes in brain white matter on diffusion tensor imaging after sports-related concussion. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*, *30*(2), 171–180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mri.2011.10.001

Bell, D. R., Guskiewicz, K. M., Clark, M. A., & Padua, D. A. (2011). Systematic review of the balance error scoring system. *Sports Health*, *3*(3), 287–295. https://doi.org/10.1177/1941738111403122

Buckley, T. A., Oldham, J. R., Caccese, J. B., Powers, K. C., Kalmar, J. M., Cinelli, M. E.,… Chou, L.-S. (2017). The Effect of Physical Exercise After a Concussion: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, *29*(1), 036354651770613. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546517706137

Caron, J. G., Rathwell, S., Delaney, J. S., Johnston, K. M., Bloom, G. A., Caron, J. G.,… Johnston, K. M. (2017). Development, implementation and assessment of a concussion education programme for high school student-athletes. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *00*(00), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2017.1280180

Chan, C., Iverson, G. L., Purtzki, J., Wong, K., Kwan, V., Gagnon, I., & Silverberg, N. D. (2018). Safety of Active Rehabilitation for Persistent Symptoms After Pediatric Sport-Related Concussion: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, *99*(2), 242–249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2017.09.108

Chin, L. M., Keyser, R. E., Dsurney, J., & Chan, L. (2015). Improved cognitive performance following aerobic exercise training in people with traumatic brain injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, *96*(4), 754–759. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2014.11.009

Corwin, D. J., Wiebe, D. J., Zonfrillo, M. R., Grady, M. F., Robinson, R. L., Goodman, A. M., & Master, C. L. (2015). Vestibular deficits following youth concussion. *Journal of Pediatrics*, *166*(5), 1221–1225. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2015.01.039

Cotman, C. W., Berchtold, N. C., & Christie, L. A. (2007). Exercise builds brain health: key roles of growth factor cascades and inflammation. *Trends in Neurosciences*, *30*(9), 464–472. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2007.06.011

El Sayed, T., Mota, A., Fraternali, F., & Ortiz, M. (2008). Biomechanics of traumatic brain injury. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, *197*(51–52), 4692–4701. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2008.06.006

Gauvin-Lepage, J., Friedman, D., Grilli, L., Sufrategui, M., De Matteo, C., Iverson, G. L., & Gagnon, I. (2018). Effectiveness of an Exercise-Based Active Rehabilitation Intervention for Youth Who Are Slow to Recover After Concussion. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine*, *00*(00), 1. https://doi.org/10.1097/JSM.0000000000000634

Ghajar, J. (2000). Traumatic brain injury. *The Lancet*, *356*, 923–929. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(00)02689-1

Giza, C. C., & Hovda, D. A. (2015). The new neurometabolic cascade of concussion. *Neurosurgery*, *75*(0 4), S24–S33. https://doi.org/10.1227/NEU.0000000000000505.

Green, S. M., Haukoos, J. S., & Schriger, D. L. (2017). How to Measure the Glasgow Coma Scale. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, *70*(2), 158–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2016.12.016

Greve, M. W., & Zink, B. J. (2009). Pathophysiology of traumatic brain injury. *Mount Sinai Journal of Medicine*, *76*(2), 97–104. https://doi.org/10.1002/msj.20104

Griesbach, G. S. (2011). Exercise After Traumatic Brain Injury: Is it a Double-Edged Sword? *Pm&R*, *3*(6), S64–S72. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmrj.2011.02.008

Grool, A. M., Aglipay, M., Momoli, F., Meehan, W. P., Freedman, S. B., Yeates, K. O.,… Zemek, R. (2016). Association between early participation in physical activity following acute concussion and persistent postconcussive symptoms in children and adolescents. *JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association*, *316*(23), 2504–2514. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.17396

Group, C. is S. (2017). Sport concussion assessment tool - 5th edition. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, bjsports-2017-097506SCAT5. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2017-097506SCAT5

Howell, D. R., Andrew Taylor, J., Tan, C. O., Orr, R., & Meehan, W. P. (2018). The Role of Aerobic Exercise in Reducing Persistent Sport-related Concussion Symptoms. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, (October), 1. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000001829

Howell, D. R., Osternig, L. R., & Chou, L. S. (2015). Return to activity after concussion affects dual-task gait balance control recovery. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, *47*(4), 673–680. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000000462

Iverson, G. L., Lovell, M. R., & Collins, M. W. (2004). Interpreting Change on ImPACT Following Sport Concussion. *The Clinical Neuropsychologist*, *17*(4), 460–467. https://doi.org/10.1076/clin.17.4.460.27934

King, D., Brughelli, M., Hume, P., & Gissane, C. (2014). Assessment, management and knowledge of sport-related concussion: Systematic review. *Sports Medicine*, *44*(4), 449–471. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-013-0134-x

Kumar, A., & Loane, D. J. (2012). Neuroinflammation after traumatic brain injury: Opportunities for therapeutic intervention. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, *26*(8), 1191–1201. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2012.06.008

Kurowski, B. G., Hugentobler, J., Quatman-Yates, C., Taylor, J., Gubanich, P. J., Altaye, M., & Wade, S. L. (2017). Aerobic Exercise for Adolescents With Prolonged Symptoms After Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. *Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*, *32*(2), 79–89. https://doi.org/10.1097/HTR.0000000000000238

Lal, A., Kolakowsky-Hayner, S. A., Ghajar, J., & Balamane, M. (2017). The Effect of Physical Exercise After a Concussion: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 036354651770613. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546517706137

Lancaster, M. A., Olson, D. V., McCrea, M. A., Nelson, L. D., LaRoche, A. A., & Muftuler, L. T. (2016). Acute white matter changes following sport-related concussion: A serial diffusion tensor and diffusion kurtosis tensor imaging study. *Human Brain Mapping*, *37*(11), 3821–3834. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.23278

Leddy, J. J., Haider, M. N., Ellis, M., & Willer, B. S. (2018). Exercise is Medicine for Concussion. *Current Sports Medicine Reports*. https://doi.org/10.1249/JSR.0000000000000505

Leddy, J. J., & Willer, B. (2013). Use of graded exercise testing in concussion and return-to-activity management. *Current Sports Medicine Reports*, *12*(6), 370–376. https://doi.org/10.1249/JSR.0000000000000008

Maass, A., Düzel, S., Brigadski, T., Goerke, M., Becke, A., Sobieray, U.,… Düzel, E. (2016). Relationships of peripheral IGF-1, VEGF and BDNF levels to exercise-related changes in memory, hippocampal perfusion and volumes in older adults. *NeuroImage*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2015.10.084

Maerlender, A., Rieman, W., Lichtenstein, J., & Condiracci, C. (2015). Programmed physical exertion in recovery from sports-related concussion: A randomized pilot study. *Developmental Neuropsychology*, *40*(5), 273–278. https://doi.org/10.1080/87565641.2015.1067706

Makdissi, M., Darby, D., Maruff, P., Ugoni, A., Brukner, P., & McCrory, P. R. (2010). Natural History of Concussion in Sport. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, *38*(3), 464–471. https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546509349491

Marshall, S. W., Guskiewicz, K. M., Shankar, V., Mccrea, M., & Cantu, R. C. (2015). Epidemiology of sports-related concussion in seven US high school and collegiate sports. *Injury Epidemiology*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40621-015-0045-4

Mccrory, P., Meeuwisse, W., Dvorak, J., Aubry, M., Bailes, J., Broglio, S.,… Vos, P. E. (2017). Consensus statement on concussion in sport — the 5 th international conference on concussion in sport held in Berlin, October 2016, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2017-097699

McCrory, P., Meeuwisse, W. H., Aubry, M., Cantu, B., Dvorak, J., Echemendia, R. J.,… Turner, M. (2013). Consensus statement on concussion in sport: the 4th International Conference on Concussion in Sport held in Zurich, November 2012. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, *47*(5), 250–258. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2013-092313

McCrory, Paul, Meeuwisse, W. H., Aubry, M., Cantu, R. C., Dvorák, J., Echemendia, R. J.,… Turner, M. (2013). Consensus Statement on Concussion in Sport-The 4th International Conference on Concussion in Sport Held in Zurich, November 2012. *PM and R*, *5*(4), 255–279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmrj.2013.02.012

McKee, A. C., Daneshvar, D. H., Alvarez, V. E., & Stein, T. D. (2014). The neuropathology of sport. *Acta Neuropathologica*, *127*(1), 29–51. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-013-1230-6

McLeod, T. C. V., & Gioia, G. a. (2010). Cognitive Rest: The Often Neglected Aspect of Concussion Management. *Human Kinetics - Athletic Therapy Today*, *15*(2), 3. https://doi.org/10.1123/att.15.2.1

Micay, R., Richards, D., & Hutchison, M. G. (2018). Feasibility of a postacute structured aerobic exercise intervention following sport concussion in symptomatic adolescents: A randomised controlled study. *BMJ Open Sport and Exercise Medicine*, *4*(1), 4–9. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjsem-2018-000404

Mychasiuk, R., Hehar, H., Ma, I., Candy, S., & Esser, M. J. (2016). Reducing the time interval between concussion and voluntary exercise restores motor impairment, short-term memory, and alterations to gene expression. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, *44*(7), 2407–2417. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.13360

Pearn, M. L., Niesman, I. R., Egawa, J., Sawada, A., Almenar-Queralt, A., Shah, S. B.,… Head, B. P. (2017). Pathophysiology Associated with Traumatic Brain Injury: Current Treatments and Potential Novel Therapeutics. *Cellular and Molecular Neurobiology*, *37*(4), 571–585. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10571-016-0400-1

Peskind, E. R., Petrie, E. C., Cross, D. J., Pagulayan, K., McCraw, K., Hoff, D.,… Minoshima, S. (2011). Cerebrocerebellar hypometabolism associated with repetitive blast exposure mild traumatic brain injury in 12 Iraq war Veterans with persistent post-concussive symptoms. *NeuroImage*, *54*(SUPPL. 1), S76–S82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2010.04.008

Portugal, E. M. M., Cevada, T., Sobral Monteiro-Junior, R., Teixeira Guimarães, T., Da Cruz Rubini, E., Lattari, E.,… Camaz Deslandes, A. (2013). Neuroscience of exercise: From neurobiology mechanisms to mental health. *Neuropsychobiology*, *68*(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1159/000350946

Quatman-Yates, C., Bailes, A., Constand, S., Sroka, M. C., Nissen, K., Kurowski, B., & Hugentobler, J. (2017). Exertional Tolerance Assessments after Mild Traumatic Brain Injury: A Systematic Review. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2017.11.012

Schatz, P., Pardini, J. E., Lovell, M. R., Collins, M. W., & Podell, K. (2006). Sensitivity and specificity of the ImPACT Test Battery for concussion in athletes. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*, *21*(1), 91–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acn.2005.08.001

Schneider, K. J., Leddy, J. J., Guskiewicz, K. M., Seifert, T., McCrea, M., Silverberg, N. D.,… Makdissi, M. (2017). Rest and treatment/rehabilitation following sport-related concussion: a systematic review. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, *51*(12), 930–934. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2016-097475

Seifert, T., & Shipman, V. (2015). The Pathophysiology of Sports Concussion. *Current Pain and Headache Reports*, *19*(8), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11916-015-0513-0

Serlin, Y., Shelef, I., Knyazer, B., & Friedman, A. (2015). Anatomy and physiology of the blood-brain barrier. *Seminars in Cell and Developmental Biology*, *38*, 2–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2015.01.002

Signoretti, S., Lazzarino, G., Tavazzi, B., & Vagnozzi, R. (2011). The pathophysiology of concussion. *PM & R : The Journal of Injury, Function, and Rehabilitation*, *3*(10 Suppl 2), S359–S368. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmrj.2011.07.018

Smith, D. H., Meaney, D. F., & Shull, W. H. (2003). Diffuse axonal injury in head trauma. *J Head Trauma Rehabil*, *18*, 307–316. https://doi.org/00001199-200307000-00003

Strain, J., Didehbani, N., Cullum, C. M., Mansinghani, S., Conover, H., Kraut, M. A.,… Womack, K. B. (2013). Depressive symptoms and white matter dysfunction in retired NFL players with concussion history. *Neurology*, *81*(1), 25–32. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e318299ccf8

Sufrinko, A. M., Mucha, A., Covassin, T., Marchetti, G., Elbin, R. J., Collins, M. W., & Kontos, A. P. (2016). Sex Differences in Vestibular/Ocular and Neurocognitive Outcomes After Sport-Related Concussion. *Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine : Official Journal of the Canadian Academy of Sport Medicine*, *0*(0), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1097/JSM.0000000000000324

Swenson, D. M., Collins, C. L., Best, T. M., Flanigan, D. C., Fields, S. K., & Comstock, R. D. (2013). Epidemiology of knee injuries among U.S. high school athletes, 2005/2006-2010/2011. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, *45*(3), 462–469. https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e318277acca

Valovich McLeod, T. C., & Hale, T. D. (2015). Vestibular and balance issues following sport-related concussion. *Brain Injury*, *29*(2), 175–184. https://doi.org/10.3109/02699052.2014.965206

Veliz, P., McCabe, S. E., Eckner, J. T., & Schulenberg, J. E. (2017). Prevalence of concussion among US adolescents and correlated factors. *JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association*, *318*(12), 1180–1182. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.9087

Wei, Y. C., Wang, S. R., & Xu, X. H. (2017). Sex differences in brain-derived neurotrophic factor signaling: Functions and implications. *Journal of Neuroscience Research*, *95*(1–2), 336–344. https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.23897

Werner, C., & Engelhard, K. (2007). Pathophysiology of traumatic brain injury. *British Journal of Anaesthesia*, *99*(1), 4–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aem131

West, T. A., & Marion, D. W. (2014). Current Recommendations for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Concussion in Sport: A Comparison of Three New Guidelines. *Journal of Neurotrauma*, *31*(2), 159–168. https://doi.org/10.1089/neu.2013.3031

Wise, E. K., Hoffman, J. M., Powell, J. M., Bombardier, C. H., & Bell, K. R. (2012). Benefits of exercise maintenance after traumatic brain injury. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, *93*(8), 1319–1323. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apmr.2012.05.009

Zhao, Z., Zhou, Y., Tian, Y., Li, M., Dong, J. fei, & Zhang, J. (2017). Cellular microparticles and pathophysiology of traumatic brain injury. *Protein and Cell*, *8*(11), 801–810. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13238-017-0414-6

APPENDIX

Appendix A



Figure A.1. PRISM (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) diagram

Table A.1. GRADE assessment and summary of findings table

Aerobic exercise compared to control for concussions in children and adolescents						
Patient or population: Concussions in children and adolescents **Intervention: Aerobic exercise** **Comparison: Control**						
Outcomes	**Anticipated absolute effects* (95% CI)**		**Relative effect (95% CI)**	**No. of participants (studies)**	**Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)**	**Comments**
	Risk with control	**Risk with aerobic exercise**				
Absolute Risk Difference assessed with: Risk of developing PPCS (prolonged post concussion symptoms)	435 per 1,000	0 per 1,000 (0 to 0)	Not estimable	1531 (1 observational study)	⊕⊕◯◯ LOW[a,b]	
PCSI-Overall	The mean PCSI-Overall was 12.16 (15.94)	The mean PCSI -Overall in the intervention group was 6.65 lower (14.63 lower to 1.32 higher)	-	75 (2 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊕◯ MODERATE [a]	
PCSI-Physical Cluster (SD)	The mean PCSI-Physical Cluster (SD) was 2.69 (6.82)	The mean PCSI-Physical Cluster (SD) in the intervention group was 2.55 lower (6.36 lower to 1.26 higher)	-	49 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊕◯ MODERATE[a]	
PCSI-Fatigue Cluster (SD)	The mean PCSI-Fatigue Cluster (SD) was 1.77 (3)	The mean PCSI-Fatigue Cluster (SD) in the intervention group was 1.3 lower (2.98 lower to 0.38 higher)	-	49 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊕◯ MODERATE[a]	
PCSI-Emotional Cluster (SD)	The mean PCSI-Emotional Cluster (SD) was 1.23 (2.05)	The mean PCSI - Emotional Cluster (SD) in the intervention group was 0.09 lower (1.54 lower to 1.36 higher)	-	49 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊕◯ MODERATE[a]	
PCSI-Cognitive Cluster (SD)	The mean PCSI-Cognitive Cluster (SD) was 2.08 (3.9)	The mean PCSI-Cognitive Cluster (SD) in the intervention group was 0.25 higher (2.45 lower to 2.95 higher)	-	49 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊕◯ MODERATE[a]	

(Contd...)

Table A.1. *(Continued)*

Aerobic exercise compared to control for concussions in children and adolescents

Patient or population: Concussions in children and adolescents
Intervention: Aerobic exercise
Comparison: Control

Outcomes	Anticipated absolute effects* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	No. of participants (studies)	Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
	Risk with control	Risk with aerobic exercise				
Change in PCSS	The mean change in PCSS was 10 (6.1)	The mean change in PCSS in the intervention group was 8.7 higher (3.05 higher to 14.35 higher)	-	15 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊕◯ MODERATE[a]	
Days Until Medical Clearance assessed with: Days	The mean days Until Medical Clearance was 29.6 (15.8) days	The mean days Until Medical Clearance in the intervention group was 1.5 days higher (15.86 lower to 18.86 higher)	-	15 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊕◯ MODERATE[a]	
PCSS	The mean PCSS was 32.2 (16.5)	The mean PCSS in the intervention group was 7.2 lower (23.35 lower to 8.95 higher)	-	19 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊕◯ MODERATE[a]	
ImPACT-Memory composite verbal score (SD)	The mean imPACT -Memory composite verbal score (SD) was 36.95 (8.96)	The mean imPACT-Memory composite verbal score (SD) in the intervention group was 2.57 higher (8.28 lower to 13.42 higher)	-	68 (2 RCTs)	⊕⊕◯◯ LOW[a]	
ImPACT-Memory composite visual score (SD)	The mean imPACT -Memory composite visual score (SD) was 71.03 (13.95)	The mean imPACT -Memory composite visual score (SD) in the intervention group was 1.33 lower (8.98 lower to 6.32 higher)	-	68 (2 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊕◯ MODERATE[a]	
ImPACT-Impulse control composite score (SD)	The mean imPACT - Impulse control composite score (SD) was 12.45 (8.84)	The mean imPACT-Impulse control composite score (SD) in the intervention group was 5.6 lower (10.58 lower to 0.62 lower)	-	49 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊕◯ MODERATE[a]	

(Contd...)

Table A.1. *(Continued)*

Aerobic exercise compared to control for concussions in children and adolescents

Patient or population: Concussions in children and adolescents
Intervention: Aerobic exercise
Comparison: Control

Outcomes	**Anticipated absolute effects*** **(95% CI)**		**Relative effect (95% CI)**	**No. of participants (studies)**	**Certainty of the evidence (GRADE)**	**Comments**
	Risk with control	**Risk with aerobic exercise**				
ImPACT-Cognitive efficiency index (SD)	The mean imPACT-Cognitive efficiency index (SD) was .29(.13)	The mean imPACT-Cognitive efficiency index (SD) in the intervention group was 0.02 higher (4.23 lower to 4.27 higher)	-	49 (1 RCT)	⊕⊕⊕◯ MODERATE[a]	
ImPACT - Visual motor speed composite score (SD)	The mean imPACT - Visual motor speed composite score (SD) was 35.28 (3.8)	The mean imPACT - Visual motor speed composite score (SD) in the intervention group was 0.33 higher (2.63 lower to 3.28 higher)	-	68 (2 RCTs)	⊕⊕⊕◯ MODERATE[a]	
ImPACT - Reaction time composite score (SD)	The mean imPACT - Reaction time composite score (SD) was 0.617 (0.03) seconds	The mean imPACT - Reaction time composite score (SD) in the intervention group was 0 seconds (0.04 lower to 0.04 higher)	-	68 (2 RCTs)	⊕⊕◯◯ LOW[a]	

*The risk in the intervention group (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% CI).CI: Confidence interval; MD: Mean difference

Table A.2. Assessment and description of various concussion assessments

Assessment	Description
Balance Error Scoring System (BESS)	A test designed to measure static posture stability. An individual completes a series of stances including a double leg stance, single leg stance, and a tandem stance. Each stance is held for 20 seconds on a firm surface and then also on a foam surface. An individual can make a total number of 10 errors maximum for each condition. The errors are defined by certain movements leading to changes in the stance being held by the individual. A modified version of this test is part of the SCAT5. (Bell, Guskiewicz, Clark, & Padua, 2011)
Immediate post-concussion assessment and cognitive testing (ImPACT)	A computerized test that uses various neuropsychological tests and screenings to assess a sports related concussion. (Iverson et al., 2004)
Post-Concussion Symptom Scale Score (PCSS)	A total of 22 symptoms that can be rated on a 0-6 scale. This can also be part of the SCAT3 or SCAT5 test (Chan et al., 2018).
SCAT3/SCAT5	A collection of neuropsychological tests that can be administered on a sideline of a sporting event. It consists of a modified BESS, Maddocks' questions, and the Standardized Assessment of Concussion. It also consists of an immediate or on-field assessment, an office or off-field assessment, cognitive screening, neurological screening, and tests assessing delayed recall (Group, 2017).
Maddock's questions	A series of questions that assess memory and are part of the SCAT5 test (Mccrory et al., 2017)
VOMS	Responsible for assessing vestibular and oculomotor control in five different areas: 1) smooth pursuit 2) saccades 3) near point convergence (NPC) 4) horizontal vestibular ocular reflex 5) visual motion sensitivity (VMS) (Sufrinko et al., 2016)